arXiv:1209.2109v1 [math.SP] 10 Sep 2012

ESTIMATES OF 1D RESONANCES IN TERMS OF POTENTIALS

EVGENY KOROTYAEV

Abstract. We discuss resonances for Schrödinger operators with compactly supported potentials on the line and the half-line. We estimate the sum of the negative power of all resonances and eigenvalues in terms of the norm of the potential and the diameter of its support. The proof is based on harmonic analysis and Carleson measures arguments.

Dedicated to Lennart Carleson, on the occasion of his 85th birthday

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper we will present a global estimate of resonances in terms of the potential for Schrödinger operators $H = H_0 + q$, where H_0 is one of the following:

$Case\ 1:\qquad -d^2/dx^2 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}).$

$Case\ 2:\qquad -d^2/dx^2 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad \text{with } f(0) = 0 \text{ boundary conditions.}$

$Case\ 3:\qquad -d^2/dx^2 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad \text{with } f'(0) = 0 \text{ boundary conditions.}$

We assume that q is integrable and has a compact support. It is well known that the spectrum of H consists of an absolutely continuous part $[0,\infty)$ and a finite number of simple negative eigenvalues $E_1 < \cdots < E_m < 0$, see well-known papers [DT79], [Me85] and the book [Ma86] about inverse scattering. The Schrödinger equation

$$-f'' + q(x)f = k^2 f, \qquad k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{1.1}$$

has unique solutions $\psi_\pm(x,k)$ such that $\psi_+(x,k) = e^{ixk}$ for large positive x and $\psi_-(x,k) = e^{-ikx}$ for large negative x. Outside the support of q any solutions of (1.1) have to be combinations of $e^{\pm ikx}$. The functions $\psi_\pm(x,\cdot), \psi'_\pm(x,\cdot)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ are entire. We define the Wronskian w for Case 1 by

$$w(k) = \{\psi_-(\cdot,k), \psi_+(\cdot,k)\}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\{f,g\} = fg' - f'g$. In Case 2 the Jost function is defined as $\psi_+(0,\cdot)$ and in Case 3 the Jost function is defined as $\psi'_+(0,\cdot)$. Let F be one of the functions $w, \psi_+(0,k)$ or $\psi'_+(0,k)$. Recall that the function F is entire. One has exactly m simple zeros $k_1 = i|E_1|^{1/2}, \dots k_m = i|E_m|^{1/2}$ in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{C}_+$ and for $q \neq 0$ an infinite number of zeros $(k_n)_{m+1}^\infty$ in the lower half-plane $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_-$ labeled by

$$0 \leqslant |k_{m+1}| \leqslant |k_{m+2}| \leqslant \dots \qquad where \qquad 0 < |k_{m+2}|,$$

see [F97], [S00], [Z87] and [K05]. Here it is possible that $k_{m+1} = 0$ for some potential, but $0 < |k_{m+2}|$ for any potential. By definition, a zero $k_n \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_-$ of F is called a resonance of H. The multiplicity of the resonance is the multiplicity of the corresponding zero of F. Of course, the energies are given by k^2, but since k is the natural parameter, we will abuse the

---

Date: September 11, 2012.

Key words and phrases. Resonances, Lieb-Thirring inequality.

terminology. We estimate the eigenvalues and the resonances in terms of L^1-norm of the potential.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $H = H_0 + q$, where q is integrable and has a compact support.*

In Case 1, let $\operatorname{supp} q \subset [0, \gamma]$ but in no smaller interval.

In Cases 2 and 3, let $\gamma = \sup(\operatorname{supp}(q))$.

Then the following estimate holds true:

$$\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{(1 + |k_n|)^p} \leqslant C_p(1 + \gamma + \|q\|), \qquad \forall\, p > 1, \tag{1.3}$$

where the constant C_p depends on p only, and $\|q\| = \int_0^\gamma |q(x)|dx$.

Remark. 1) The RHS of (1.3) depends on 2 crucial parameters: the diameter of the support of the potential and the magnitude $\|q\|$ of the potential. In Cases 1 and 3 we can not remove 1 in the RHS of (1.3). In Case 2 probably the number 1 should be absent in the RHS of (1.3).

2) C_p is the constant from Carleson's Theorem (Theorem 5.6 in [G81]), see also (2.18).

3) In Case 1 the proof of (1.3) is based on analysis of the function w. We use harmonic analysis and the Carleson Theorem (Theorem 5.6 in [G81]) about Carleson measure. The proof for Cases 2 and 3 is a simple corollary of Case 1.

4) Until now we did not know any estimate of resonances in terms of potential. In fact, the estimate (1.3) gives a new global property of resonance stability.

Resonances for the multidimensional case were studied by Melrose, Sjöstrand, and Zworski and other, see [M83], [Z89], [SZ91]) and references therein. We discuss the one dimensional case. A lot of papers is devoted to resonances for the 1D Schrödinger operator, see Froese [F97], Simon [S00], Zworski [Z87], Korotyaev [K11] and references therein. We recall that Zworski [Z87] obtained the first results about the asymptotic distribution of resonances for the Schrödinger operator with compactly supported potentials on the real line. Different properties of resonances were determined in [H99], [S00], [Z87], and [K04], [K05], [K11]. Korotyaev solved the inverse problem for resonances: the characterization of S-matrix for the Schrödinger operator with a compactly supported potential on the real line [K05] and the half-line [K04]. Moreover, recovering (plus uniqueness) of the potential from the resonances was obtained, see also [Z02], [BKW03] about uniqueness.

The "local resonance" stability problem was considered in [K04s] for Case 2. It was proved that: if $\varkappa = (\varkappa_n)_1^\infty$ is a sequence of eigenvalues and resonances of the Schrödinger operator with some compactly supported potential q and $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} n^{2\varepsilon} |\widetilde{\varkappa}_n - \varkappa_n|^2 < \infty$ for some sequence $\widetilde{\varkappa} = (\widetilde{\varkappa}_n)_1^\infty$ and $\varepsilon > 1$, then $\widetilde{\varkappa}$ is a sequence of eigenvalues and resonances of a Schrödinger operator with for some unique real compactly supported potential $\widetilde{q}$.

A lot of papers are devoted to estimates of eigenvalues in terms of potential (so-called Lieb-Thirring inequalities), which have the form

$$\sum_{\operatorname{Im} k_n > 0} |k_n|^\tau \leqslant C_\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}} |q(x)|^{\frac{\tau+1}{2}} dx, \qquad \tau \geqslant 1,$$

see [LT76], [LS10], [W96] and references therin. In our case (1.3) the number of resonances is infinite and we consider the negative power $\tau = -p < -1$. Furthermore, some resonances and eigenvalues can be close to zero even for small potentials. For this reason, we sum $(1 + |k_n|)^{-p}$. Thus, roughly speaking, (1.3) is a Lieb-Thirring inequality for the resonances. Moreover, (1.3) is a Lieb-Thirring inequality for eigenvalues for negative τ.

2. Proof

2.1. Estimates for entire functions. An entire function $f(z)$ is said to be of exponential type if there is a constant β such that $|f(z)| \leqslant \text{const}\, e^{\beta|z|}$ everywhere. The infimum of the set of β for which such inequality holds is called the type of f.
Definition. *Let $\mathcal{E}_\gamma, \gamma > 0$ denote the set of exponential type functions f, which satisfy*

$$|f(k)| \geqslant 2|k| \qquad \forall\, k \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &f(k) = 2ik - f_0 + f_*(k), \qquad |f_*(k)| \leqslant Ae^{2\gamma v_-}, \qquad \forall\, k = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}, \\ &\text{where} \qquad v_- = \frac{1}{2}(|v| - v), \qquad f_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we will need some properties of zeros of $f \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ in terms of the Carleson measure. Recall that *a positive Borel measure M defined in $\mathbb{C}_-$ is called a Carleson measure if there is a constant C_M such that for all $(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$*

$$M(D_-(t,r)) \leqslant C_M r, \quad \text{where} \quad D_-(t,r) \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C}_- : |z - t| < r\}, \tag{2.3}$$

here C_M is the Carleson constant independent of (t,r).

For an entire function f with zeroes $k_n, n \geqslant 1$ we define an associated measure by

$$d\Omega(k,f) = \sum_{\operatorname{Im} k_n \leqslant 0} \delta(k - k_n + i)dudv, \qquad k = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}_-. \tag{2.4}$$

We denote the number of zeros of function f having modulus $< r$ by $\mathcal{N}(r,f)$, each zero being counted according to its multiplicity. In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we need

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Then*

i) Let $r > 0, t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then the following estimate holds true:

$$\mathcal{N}(r, f(t+\cdot)) \leqslant \frac{1}{\log 2}\Big(\frac{4\gamma r}{\pi} + |f_0| + \log(1+4r) + \log(1+A)\Big). \tag{2.5}$$

ii) $\Omega(\cdot, f)$ is a Carleson measure and for all $(r,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(D_-(t,r),f) &\leqslant \mathcal{N}(r, f(t+\cdot)) \leqslant C(f)r, \\ C(f) &= \frac{3}{\log 4}\Big(\frac{4\gamma}{\pi} + |f_0| + 4 + \log(1+A)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

iii) Let $k_n, n \geqslant 1$ be all zeros of f. Then for each $p > 1$ the following estimate holds true:

$$\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{(1+|k_n|)^p} \leqslant C_p C(f), \tag{2.7}$$

where the constant C_p depends on p only and $C(f)$ is defined in (2.6).

Proof. i) Recall the Jensen formula for an entire function F:

$$\log|F(0)| + \int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{N}(t,F)}{t}dt = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log|F(re^{i\phi})|d\phi, \tag{2.8}$$

see p. 2 in [Koo88]. Recall that f has the form $f = 2ik - f_0 + f_*$, where $|f_*(k)| \leqslant Ae^{2\gamma v_-}$.

Consider the case $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Let $c = 2it - f_0$. Then (2.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t+k)| &= |2it + 2ik - f_0 + f_1(t+k)| = |c|\left|1 + \frac{2ik}{c} + \frac{f_*(t+k)}{c}\right| \\ &\leqslant |c|\left(1 + \frac{2|k|}{|c|}\right)\left(1 + \frac{|f_*(t+k)|}{|c|}\right) \leqslant |c|\big(1 + 2|k|\big)\big(1 + |f_*(t+k)|\big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

since $|c| \geqslant 1$. Substituting this into (2.8) we obtain for the function $F(k) = f(t+k), k = re^{i\phi}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \log|f(t)| + \int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{N}(s)}{s} ds &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log|f(t + re^{i\phi})| d\phi \\ &\leqslant \log|c| + \log(1 + 2r) + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 + \big|f_*(t + re^{i\phi})\big|) d\phi, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(s) = \mathcal{N}(s, f(t + \cdot))$. We estimate the last integral in RHS of (2.10). The estimate $|f_*(t + re^{i\phi})| \leqslant Ae^{2\gamma v_-}$, where $v_- = r(|\sin\phi| - \sin\phi)$, implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 + |f_*(t + re^{i\phi})|) d\phi &\leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log\left(1 + Ae^{r\gamma(|\sin\phi| - \sin\phi)}\right) d\phi \\ \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \Big(r\gamma(|\sin\phi| - \sin\phi) + \log(1 + A)\Big) d\phi &= \frac{2r\gamma}{\pi} + \log(1 + A). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

The simple calculus gives

$$\log|c| = \log|2t| + \log\left|1 - \frac{f_0}{2it}\right| \leqslant \log|2t| + |f_0|. \tag{2.12}$$

Combining all estimates (2.10)-(2.12), we obtain

$$\log\frac{|f(t)|}{2|t|} + \int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{N}(s)}{s} ds \leqslant \frac{2r\gamma}{\pi} + |f_0| + \log(1 + 2r) + \log(1 + A). \tag{2.13}$$

Substituting the estimate $\frac{|f(t)|}{2|t|} \geqslant 1$ into (2.13) together with the simple one

$$\int_0^r \frac{\mathcal{N}(s) ds}{s} \geqslant \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) \int_{\frac{r}{2}}^r \frac{ds}{s} = \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) \log 2,$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) \log 2 \leqslant \frac{2r\gamma}{\pi} + |f_0| + \log(1 + 2r) + \log(1 + A), \tag{2.14}$$

which yields (2.5).

ii) Let $r \leqslant 1, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then by the construction of $\Omega(\cdot, f)$, we obtain $\Omega(D_-(t, r), f) = 0$.

Let $r > 1, t \in \mathbb{R}$. We have two cases:

Firstly, let $r > 1, t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then due to (2.5), the measure $\Omega(\cdot, f)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\Omega(D_-(t, r), f) \leqslant \mathcal{N}(r, f(t + \cdot)) \leqslant \frac{1}{\log 2}\left(\frac{4r\gamma}{\pi} + |f_0| + \log(1 + 4r) + \log(1 + A)\right) \\ &\leqslant C_1 r, \qquad C_1 = \frac{1}{\log 2}\left(\frac{4\gamma}{\pi} + |f_0| + 4 + \log(1 + A)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Secondly, let $r > 1, t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. The proof for the case $t \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ is similar. For two disks $D(t, r)$ and $D(\frac{1}{2}, r_1), r_1 = r + \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(t,r) \cap \{\operatorname{Im} k \leqslant -1\} \subset D\big(\frac{1}{2}, r_1\big) \cap \{\operatorname{Im} k \leqslant -1\}, \\ r \leqslant r_1 = r + \frac{1}{2} \leqslant \frac{3r}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Then due to (2.5), the measure $\Omega(\cdot, f)$ satisfies

$$\Omega(D_-(t,r), f) \leqslant \Omega(D_-(1/2, r_1), f) \leqslant \mathcal{N}(r_1, f(\frac{1}{2} + \cdot)) \leqslant C_1 r_1 \leqslant \frac{3}{2} C_1 r. \tag{2.17}$$

Thus $\Omega(\cdot, f)$ is a Carleson measure with the Carleson constant $C(f) = \frac{3}{2}C_1$.

iii) Consider the case $\operatorname{Im} k_n \leqslant 0$. The proof for the case $\operatorname{Im} k_n > 0$ is similar, even simpler. In order to show (2.7) we recall a result of Carleson (see Theorem 5.1, [G81]):

If M is a Carleson measure, then the following estimate holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_-} |Pg(z)|^p dM \leqslant C_p C_M \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(t)|^p dt \qquad \forall \quad g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}),\ p \in (1, \infty), \tag{2.18}$$

where $(Pg)(k) = \frac{v}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g(t)dt}{|t-k|^2}$, $k = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}_-$ is the Poisson integral of g, C_M is the so-called Carleson constant and the constant C_p depends on p only.

In order to prove (2.7) we introduce real-valued functions ξ_1, ξ_2 by

$$\frac{1}{k-i} = \xi_1 + i\xi_2, \quad \xi_1(k) = \frac{u}{|k-i|^2}, \quad \xi_2(k) = -\frac{v-1}{|k-i|^2}, \quad k = u + iv \in \mathbb{C}_-.$$

Note that ξ_1, ξ_2 are harmonic in $\mathbb{C}_-$. Let $v_n = \operatorname{Im} k_n$ and $\widetilde{k}_n = k_n - i$. Estimate (2.18) yields

$$\sum_{v_n \leqslant 0} |\xi_1(\widetilde{k}_n)|^p = \sum_{v_n \leqslant 0} \frac{|\operatorname{Re} k_n|^p}{|\widetilde{k}_n - i|^{2p}} \leqslant C_p C_M \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|s|^p ds}{|s^2+1|^p} = C_{1p} C_M, \tag{2.19}$$

$$\sum_{v_n \leqslant 0} |\xi_2(\widetilde{k}_n)|^p = \sum_{v_n \leqslant 0} \frac{|\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{k}_n|^p}{|\widetilde{k}_n - i|^{2p}} \leqslant C_p C_M \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{ds}{|s^2+1|^p} = C_{2p} C_M. \tag{2.20}$$

Due to the simple inequality $x^p + y^p \geqslant 2^{-\frac{p}{2}} |x + iy|^p,\ \forall x \geqslant 0,\ y \geqslant 0$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{v_n \leqslant 0} \Big(|\xi_1(\widetilde{k}_n)|^p + |\xi_2(\widetilde{k}_n)|^p \Big) \geqslant 2^{-\frac{p}{2}} \sum_{v_n \leqslant 0} \frac{|k_n - i|^p}{|k_n - i2|^{2p}} \geqslant \sum_{v_n \leqslant 0} \frac{2^{-\frac{p}{2}-p}}{(1+|k_n|)^p} \tag{2.21}$$

and summing (2.19)-(2.21) we have (2.7). ■

2.1. Estimates of resonances.

We consider Case 1 and without loss of generality let $\operatorname{supp} q \subset [0, \gamma]$. The Schrödinger equation

$$-f'' + q(x)f = k^2 f, \qquad k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \tag{2.22}$$

has unique solutions $\psi_\pm(x, k)$ such that $\psi_+(x,k) = e^{ixk},\ x \geqslant \gamma$ and $\psi_-(x,k) = e^{-ikx},\ x \leqslant 0$. Outside of the support of q any solutions of (2.22) have to be linear combinations of $e^{\pm ikx}$. Functions $\psi_\pm(x, \cdot), \psi'_\pm(x, \cdot), x \in \mathbb{R}$ are entire. We define the functions a, w, s by

$$w(k) = 2ika(k) = \{\psi_-(\cdot, k), \psi_+(\cdot, k)\}, \qquad s(k) = \{\psi_+(\cdot, k), \psi_-(\cdot, -k)\}, \tag{2.23}$$

and $\{f,g\}=fg'-f'g$ denotes the Wronskian. The functions $w(k), s(k)$ are entire and the following asymptotic estimates hold true:

$$a(k)=1+O(k^{-1}) \qquad \text{as } \ |k|\to\infty, \quad k\in\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+. \tag{2.24}$$

The scattering matrix for the operators $H, H_0=-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ is given by

$$S(k)=\begin{pmatrix} a(k)^{-1} & r_-(k)\\ r_+(k) & a(k)^{-1}\end{pmatrix}, \qquad r_\pm=\frac{s(\mp k)}{w(k)}, \qquad k\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.25}$$

where $1/a$ is the transmission coefficient and $r_\pm$ are the reflection coefficients. The matrix $S(k), k\in\mathbb{R}$ is unitary, which yields

$$|w(k)|^2=4k^2+|s(k)|^2, \quad \forall\ k\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.26}$$

The solution ψ_+ of (2.22) satisfies the following equation

$$\psi_+(x,k)=e^{ixk}-\int_x^\gamma \frac{\sin[k(x-t)]}{k} q(t)\psi_+(t,k)dt \qquad \forall\ (x,k)\in[0,\gamma]\times\mathbb{C}. \tag{2.27}$$

It is well known that equation (2.27) has a unique solution. Due to (2.27) the function $y(x,k)=e^{-ikx}\psi_+(x,k)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$y(x,k)=1+\int_x^\gamma G(t-x,k)q(t)y(t,k)dt \qquad G(t,k)=\frac{\sin kt}{k}e^{ikt}, \tag{2.28}$$

for all $(x,k)\in[0,\gamma]\times\mathbb{C}$. We have the standard iterations

$$y(x,k)=1+\sum_{n\geqslant 1} y_n(x,k), \qquad y_n(x,k)=\int_x^\gamma G(t-x,k)q(t)y_{n-1}(t,k)dt, \quad y_0=1. \tag{2.29}$$

We need some properties of the functions introduced above.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $q\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\operatorname{supp} q\subset[0,\gamma]$. *Then the functions* w, s *and* $\psi_+(x,\cdot), \psi_+'(x,\cdot)$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$ *are entire and we have*

$$|y_n(x,k)|\leqslant \frac{h^n}{n!}e^{2(\gamma-x)v_-}, \tag{2.30}$$

where

$$v_-=\begin{cases}0, & \operatorname{Im} k\geqslant 0\\ |v|, & \operatorname{Im} k=v<0\end{cases}, \qquad h=\frac{\|q\|}{|k|_1}, \qquad |k|_1=\max\{1,|k|\}; \tag{2.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |y(x,k)|&\leqslant e^{2(\gamma-x)v_-+h},\\ |y(x,k)-1|&\leqslant he^{2(\gamma-x)v_-+h},\\ |y(x,k)-1-y_1|&\leqslant \frac{h^2}{2}e^{2(\gamma-x)v_-+h}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Moreover, $w\in\mathcal{E}_\gamma$ *and satisfies for any* $k\in\mathbb{C}$:

$$w(k)=i2k-q_0+w_*(k), \qquad w_*(k)=-\int_0^\gamma q(t)\big(y(t,k)-1\big)dt, \tag{2.33}$$

$$|w_*(k)|\leqslant \|q\|he^{h+2\gamma v_-}, \tag{2.34}$$

where $q_0=\int_0^\gamma q(t)dt$ *and* $\|q\|=\int_0^\gamma |q(t)|dt$.

Proof. Substituting the estimate

$$|G(x,k)| \leqslant \frac{e^{2v_- x}}{|k|_1}, \qquad x \geqslant 0,\ k \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{2.35}$$

into the identity

$$y_n(x,k) = \int\limits_{x=t_0<t_1<t_2<\ldots<t_n} \Big(\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n} G(t_j - t_{j-1},k) q(t_j)\Big) dt_1 dt_2 \ldots dt_n,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |y_n(x,k)| &\leqslant \frac{1}{|k|_1^n} \int\limits_{x=t_0<t_1<t_2<\ldots<t_n} \Big(\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n} e^{2v_-(t_j-t_{j-1})}|q(t_j)|\Big) dt_1 dt_2 \ldots dt_n \\ &= \frac{e^{-2v_- x}}{|k|_1^n} \int\limits_{x=t_0<t_1<t_2<\ldots<t_n} \Big(\prod_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n} |q(t_j)|\Big) e^{2v_- t_n} dt_1 dt_2 \ldots dt_n \\ \leqslant \frac{e^{2(\gamma-x)v_-}}{|k|_1^n} & \int\limits_{x=t_0<t_1<t_2<\ldots<t_n} |q(t_1)q(t_2)\ldots.q(t_n)| dt_1 dt_2 \ldots dt_n \leqslant e^{2(\gamma-x)v_-} \frac{\|q\|^n}{n!|k|_1^n}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

This shows that for each $x \geqslant 0$ the series (2.29) converges uniformly on any bounded subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Each term of this series is an entire function. Hence the sum is an entire function. Summing the majorants we obtain estimates (2.32). Thus the functions w, s and $\psi_+(x,\cdot), \psi_+'(x,\cdot), x \in \mathbb{R}$ are entire

The identity (2.27) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_+(0,k)' &= ik - \int_0^\gamma \cos[kt]\ q(t)\psi_+(t,k)dt, \\ ik\psi_+(0,k) &= ik + i\int_0^\gamma \sin[kt]\ q(t)\psi_+(t,k)dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} w(k) &= \psi_-(0,k)\psi_+'(0,k) - \psi_-'(0,k)\psi_+(0,k) = ik\psi_+(0,k) + \psi_+'(0,k) \\ &= 2ik - q_0 + w_*(k), \qquad w_*(k) = -\int_0^\gamma q(t)\big(y(t,k)-1\big)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

Due to (2.32) we have the estimate

$$|w_*(k)| \leqslant \int_0^\gamma |q(t)| h e^{2(\gamma-t)v_- + h} dt \leqslant h e^{2\gamma v_- + h} \int_0^\gamma |q(t)| dt = h\|q\| e^{2\gamma v_- + h}, \tag{2.39}$$

which is (2.34). Together with (2.26) this implies that $w \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$. ■

We conclude few facts about the resonances in the disk $\{|k| < r\}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\operatorname{supp} q \subset [0,\gamma]$ *for some* $\gamma > 0$.

i) Let $r > 0$ *and let* q *satisfy*

$$\|q\|(1 + h e^{2\gamma r + h}) < 2r, \qquad \text{where } h = \frac{\|q\|}{\max\{1,r\}}. \tag{2.40}$$

Then the function w *has only one simple zero in the disk* $\{|k| < r\}$.

ii) Let in addition, $1 \leqslant r \leqslant \frac{1}{2\gamma}$ *and let* $2\|q\| \leqslant r$. *Then the function* w *has only one simple zero in the disk* $\{|k| < r\}$.

iii) Let $r > 0$ *and* $r_1 = r + \frac{1}{2}$. *Then the following estimates hold true:*

$$\mathcal{N}(r, w((1/2) + \cdot)) \leqslant \frac{1}{\log 2}\bigg(\frac{4\gamma r}{\pi} + \log(1 + 4r) + 4\|q\|\bigg), \tag{2.41}$$

$$\mathcal{N}(r_1, w) \leqslant \frac{1}{\log 2}\bigg(\frac{4\gamma r_1}{\pi} + \log(1 + 4r_1) + |q_0| + 4\|q\|\bigg). \tag{2.42}$$

Proof. i) Let $w_0 = i2k$ and $|k| = r$. Then the estimates (2.33), (2.34) imply

$$|w(k) - w_0(k)| \leqslant \|q\|(1 + he^{2\gamma + h}) = |w_0(k)|C_0, \qquad C_0 = \frac{\|q\|}{2r}(1 + he^{2\gamma r + h}). \tag{2.43}$$

Hence, if $C_0 < 1$, then by Rouche's theorem, $w(k)$ has only one simple zero, as $w_0 = 2ik$ in the disk $\{|k| < r\}$.

ii) If $f(h) = h + h^2 e^{1+h} < 2$, then (2.40) holds true. Then estimates $f(\frac{1}{2}) < 2$ and $h = \frac{\|q\|}{r} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ yield that w has only one simple zero in the disk $\{|k| < r\}$.

iii) Due to Lemma 2.2, we have $w \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$. The estimate (2.5) implies

$$\mathcal{N}(r, w((1/2) + \cdot)) \leqslant \frac{1}{\log 2}\bigg(\frac{4\gamma r}{\pi} + |q_0| + \log(1 + 4r) + \log(1 + A)\bigg). \tag{2.44}$$

The estimate (2.34) from Lemma 2.2 gives $|w_*(k)| \leqslant h\|q\|e^{2\gamma v_- + h}$. Then by (2.31), we can choose $A(w) = \|q\|^2 e^{\|q\|}$ and

$$\log(1 + A) \leqslant \log(1 + \|q\|^2 e^{\|q\|}) \leqslant \|q\| + \log(1 + \|q\|^2) \leqslant \|q\| + 2\log(1 + \|q\|) \leqslant 3\|q\|. \tag{2.45}$$

Substituting (2.45) into (2.44) we obtain (2.41), which yields (2.41). ■

Remark. 1) This proposition shows that for any $\gamma, r > 0$ and sufficiently small $\|q\|$ the function w has only one simple zero in the disk $\{|k| < r\}$.

2) The number of zeros of w in the disk with large r depends on the diameter γ. But it is very interesting to determine the asymptotics of $\mathcal{N}(r, w)$ as $r \to \infty$. This problem remains open for long period.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We consider Case 1 and let $\operatorname{supp} q \subset [0, \gamma]$. By Lemma 2.2, the function $w \in \mathcal{E}_\gamma$ and applying the estimate (2.7) from Theorem 2.1 we obtain:

$$\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{(1 + |k_n|)^p} \leqslant C_p C(w), \tag{2.46}$$

where the constant C_p depends on p only and $C(w)$ is given by

$$C(w) = \frac{3}{\log 4}\bigg(\frac{4\gamma}{\pi} + |q_0| + 4 + \log(1 + A)\bigg), \qquad A = A(w). \tag{2.47}$$

The estimate (2.34) from Lemma 2.2 gives $|w_*(k)| \leqslant h\|q\|e^{2\gamma v_- + h}$. Then by (2.31), we can choose $A(w) = \|q\|^2 e^{\|q\|}$. Substituting (2.45) into (2.47) we obtain

$$C(w) \leqslant \frac{12}{\log 4}\big(1 + \gamma + \|q\|\big). \tag{2.48}$$

Combining (2.48) and (2.46) we obtain (1.3).

Consider Cases 2 and 3. For $q \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we define the Schrödinger operator $\widetilde{H} = -\frac{dx^2}{dx^2} + \widetilde{q}$ acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with an even compactly supported potential $\widetilde{q}$ given by

$$\widetilde{q} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}), \qquad \widetilde{q}(\pm x) = q(x), x \geqslant 0.$$

The Schrödinger equation $-f'' + \widetilde{q}(x)f = k^2 f, \qquad k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, has unique solutions $\widetilde{\psi}_\pm(x,k)$ such that $\widetilde{\psi}_+(x,k) = e^{ixk}, \quad x \geqslant \gamma$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_-(x,k) = e^{-ikx}, \quad x \leqslant -\gamma$. Note that the symmetry of the potential $\widetilde{q}$ yields

$$\widetilde{\psi}_+(x,k) = \psi_+(x,k) = \widetilde{\psi}_-(-x,k) \qquad \forall x \in [0,\gamma],$$

which implies the the corresponding Wronskian $\{\widetilde{\psi}_+(x,k), \widetilde{\psi}_-(x,k)\}|_{x=0} = 2\psi_+(0,k)\psi_+'(0,k)$. Then (1.3) for Case 1 yields (1.3) for Cases 2 and 3. ■

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Grigori Rozenblum, Sweden, and Sergey Morozov, Denmark, for useful comments about Lieb-Thirring inequalities. This work was supported by the Ministry of education and science of the Russian Federation, state contract 14.740.11.0581 and the RFFI grant "Spectral and asymptotic methods for studying of the differential operators" No 11-01-00458.

REFERENCES

[BKW03] Brown, B.; Knowles, I.; Weikard, R. On the inverse resonance problem, J. London Math. Soc. 68 (2003), no. 2, 383-401.

[DT79] Deift, P.; Trubowitz, E. Inverse scattering on the line, Commun. Pure and Applied Math., 32(1979), 121–251.

[H99] Hitrik, M. Bounds on scattering poles in one dimension, Commun. Math. Phys. 208(1999), 381–411.

[F97] Froese, R. Asymptotic distribution of resonances in one dimension. J. Diff. Eq. 137 (1997), no. 2, 251-272.

[G81] Garnett, J. Bounded analytic functions, Academic Press, New York, London, 1981.

[Koo88] Koosis, P. The logarithmic integral I, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, London, New York 1988.

[K04] Korotyaev, E. Inverse resonance scattering on the half line, Asymptotic Anal. 37(2004), No 3/4, 215–226.

[K04s] Korotyaev, E. Stability for inverse resonance problem. Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. 73, 3927–3936.

[K05] Korotyaev, E. Inverse resonance scattering on the real line. Inverse Problems 21 (2005), no. 1, 325–341.

[K11] Korotyaev, E. Resonance theory for perturbed Hill operator, Asymp. Anal. 74(2011), No 3-4, 199–227.

[LS10] E.H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, The Stability of Matter in Quantum Mechanics, Cambridge Univ. Press (2010).

[LT76] Lieb E., Thirring, W. Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities. Studies in Math. Phys., Essays in Honor of Valentine Bargmann, Princeton 1976.

[Ma86] Marchenko, V. Sturm-Liouville operator and applications. Basel, Birkhäuser 1986.

[Me85] Melin, A. Operator methods for inverse scattering on the real line. Comm. P.D.E. 10(1985), 677–786.

[M83] Melrose, R. Polynomial bound on the number of scattering poles, J. Funct. Anal. 53(1983), 287–303.

[S00] Simon, B. Resonances in one dimension and Fredholm determinants, J. Funct. Anal. 178 (2000), no. 2, 396–420.

[SZ91] Sjöostrand, J.; Zworski, M. Complex scaling and the distribution of scattering poles. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), no. 4, 729-769.

[W96] Weidl, T. On the Lieb-Thirring constants $L_{\gamma,1}$ for $\gamma \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$, Comm. Math. Phys. 178(1996), 135-146.

[Z87] Zworski, M. Distribution of poles for scattering on the real line, J. Funct. Anal. 73(1987), 277–296.

[Z89] Zworski, M. Sharp polynomial bounds on the number of scattering poles of radial potentials. J. Funct. Anal. 82 (1989), no. 2, 370-403.

[Z02] Zworski, M. SIAM, J. Math. Analysis, "A remark on isopolar potentials" 82(2002), No 6, 1823–1826.

MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS DEPARTMENT, FACULTY OF PHYSICS, ULIANOVSKAYA 2, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, ST. PETERSBURG, 198904, AND PUSHKIN LENINGRAD STATE UNIVERSITY, RUSSIA, KOROTYAEV@GMAIL.COM,